

جامعة نايف العربية للعلوم الأمنية  
Naif Arab University for Security Sciences



# **VIDEO WATERMARKING SYSTEM BASED ON MOVING PARTS AND SILENCE DELETION**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science in Information Security

**By:**

**Shahad Mousa Abdullah Almuzairai**

**Master degree, Naif Arab University for Security Sciences , 2019**

Under Supervision of:

**Dr.Nisreen Mohammed Innab**

Submitted to:

department of Information Security, Computer and Information Security College

Naif Arab University for Security Sciences

**May 2019**

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgment .....	ix
List of Figures .....	ix
List of Tables .....	x
List of Abbreviations .....	xi
List of Symbols.....	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction .....	1
1.1    Impotance of Video watermarking .....	1
1.2    Problem Statement .....	2
1.3    Resrach Questions .....	3
1.4    Objectives .....	3
1.5    Thesis contributions .....	4
1.6    Thesis Organization .....	5
Chapter 2:Related Work .....	6
2.1    Introduction.....	6
2.2    Spatial Domain.....	6
2.3    Frequency Domain.....	7
2.3.1    Hiding Only in the Visual Stream of the Video.....	8
2.3.2    Hiding Only in the Audio Stream of the Video .....	9
2.3.3    Hiding in Both the Visual and Audio Streams of the Video .....	10
Chapter 3:Methodology .....	11
3.1    Introduction.....	11
3.2    Theat Model.....	11
3.3    Proposed System Architecture .....	13
3.4    Components Roles .....	14
3.4.1    Moving Part Detection Approach (MPDA).....	15
3.4.2    DWT-based Hiding Approach (DWTHTA).....	18
3.4.3    Silence Deletion Approach (SDA).....	22
3.5    Security Analysis .....	27
3.5.1    Security Analysis of geometric attack .....	27
3.5.2    Security Analysis of non-geometric attack .....	28
Chapter 4:Results and Evaluations .....	31
4.1    Used Metrics .....	31
4.1.1    QoV Metrics .....	31
4.1.2    Watermark SimilarityMetrics .....	32
4.1.3    Audio Watermarking Metrics .....	33
4.2    Experemental Results and Evaluations .....	33
4.2.1    System setup .....	33
4.2.2    Evaluations.....	34
4.2.2.1    PSNR-based QoV Evaluation .....	34
4.2.2.2    SSIM-based QoV Evaluation.....	35
4.2.2.3    Impact of the bilinear attack .....	36
4.2.2.4    Impact of the curved attack.....	37

4.2.2.5 Impact of the LPF attack.....	39
4.2.2.6 Impact of the Gaussian noise attack.....	40
4.2.2.7 Impact of the compression attack .....	41
4.2.2.8 Evaluate audio watermarking approach.....	43
Chapter 5: Conclusions and Future work.....	46
5.1 Introduction.....	46
5.2 Conclusion .....	46
5.3 Limitations of thesis.....	47
5.4 Future Work.....	47
References.....	48
Arabic summary.....	53
Arabic Title Page .....	54

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1: General scenario of video watermarking system. ....	2
Figure 2.1: Domains of video watermarking approaches. ....	6
Figure 2.2: Classification of frequency-based domain video approaches. ....	7
Figure 3.1: Objective of the attacker. ....	12
Figure 3.2: Our proposed system architecture. ....	13
Figure 3.3: Dirty window effect. ....	15
Figure 3.4: Moving and non-moving parts of an original video. ....	16
Figure 3.5: Moving and non-moving block detection. ....	17
Figure 3.6: Hiding process. ....	19
Figure 3.7: Silence samples of a speech signal. ....	22
Figure 3.8: Hiding within an audio stream. ....	24
Figure 3.9: Generating a watermarked audio stream. ....	26
Figure 3.10: Generating a watermarked video. ....	26
Figure 4.1: Video and watermark. ....	33
Figure 4.2: $\mu_{TC}$ values vs. PSNR. ....	34
Figure 4.3: $\mu_{TC}$ values vs. SSIM. ....	35
Figure 4.4: Original and extracted watermarks after applying the bilinear attack. ....	36
Figure 4.5: Correlation vs. the level of ripple under a bilinear attack, where $\mu_{TC} = 0.25$ . ....	37
Figure 4.6: Original and extracted watermarks after applying the curved attack. ....	38
Figure 4.7: Correlation vs. the level of ripple under the curved attack, with $\mu_{TC} = 0.25$ . ....	38
Figure 4.8: Original and extracted watermarks after applying the LPF attack. ....	39
Figure 4.9: Correlation vs. the size of the window under the LPF attack, where $\mu_{TC} = 0.25$ . ....	39
Figure 4.10: Original and extracted watermarks after applying the Gaussian noise attack. ....	40
Figure 4.11: Correlation vs. noise percentage under the Gaussian noise attack, with $\mu_{TC} = 0.25$ . ....	40
Figure 4.12: Original and extracted watermarks after applying the compression attack. ....	41
Figure 4.13: Correlation vs. compression level under the compression attack, with $\mu_{TC} = 0.25$ . ....	42
Figure 4.14: Waveform differences between the original audio streams and the watermarked audio streams in the three approaches under the compression attack. ....	44
Figure 4.15: Waveform differences between the original audio streams and the watermarked audio streams in the three approaches under the Gaussian noise attack. ....	45

# List of Tables

Table 3.1: Capabilities of the attacker .....	12
Table 3.2: Effects of attacks.....	12
Table 3.3: Components.....	14
Table 4.1: rhino video.....	34
Table 4.2: Approach descriptions.....	34
Table 4.3: Approach descriptions.....	43